### Coexistence of cluster structure and mean-field-type structure in mediumweight nuclei





<u>TANIGUCHI, Yasutaka</u> (Kyoto Univ.) KIMURA, Masaaki (YITP, Kyoto Univ.) KANADA-EN'YO, Yoshiko (YITP, Kyoto Univ.) HORIUCHI, Hisashi (Kyoto Univ.)

## Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Framework
  - 1. Antisymmetrized Molecular Dynamics (AMD) + Generator Coordinate Method (GCM)
  - 2. New constraint: *d*-constraint
- 3. Results
  - 1. Structure of <sup>20</sup>Ne
  - 2. Structure of <sup>40</sup>Ca
- 4. Summary

## Introduction

Coexistence of cluster structure and mean-field-type structure in medium-weight nucleus.

proton-neutron correlation,

Z=28

N=8

Z=20

 $N \sim Z$ 

N=28

N=20

Coulomb force

 $^{40}Ca$ 

cluster mean-field-type

Are cluster structures important or not?

The effects of mean-field type structure to cluster structure are increasing

Cluster structures are well developed

## Introduction

Coexistence of cluster structure and mean-field-type



experimentally. E. Ideguchi et al, PRL 87 (2001), 222501

# Framework ~AMD + GCM~

AMD wave function

Slater determinant of deformed Gaussian wave packets (common width parameter). <u>GCM</u>

Superposing basis wave functions, diagonalize Hamiltonian.

Basis wave functions are calculated by energy

variation with *constraint*. VAP: parity

VBP: angular momentum

 $\beta$ -constraint: quadrupole parameter  $\beta$ Mean-field-type structures tend to be calculated. *d*-constraint: new constraint for clustering



Constraint for the distance between the centers of masses of groups of nucleons corresponding to clusters.

Wave functions of each cluster are calculated as result of energy variation.

(Y. Taniguchi et al, Prog. Theor. Phys., **112** (2004), 475)



(Y. Taniguchi et al, Prog. Theor. Phys., **112** (2004), 475)

#### Energy curves of $J^{\pi}=0^+$ states of $^{40}Ca$







#### Discussion Cluster structure component

$K^{\pi}$	$J^{\pi}$	configuration	$ overlap ^2$ [%]
$0_{2}^{+}$	$0^+$	$\alpha$ - <sup>36</sup> Ar	31
(ND)	$2^{+}$		37
	4+		38
21+	$2^{+}$	$\alpha$ - <sup>36</sup> Ar	41
(ND)	3+		38
	4+		40
$0_{3}^{+}$	$0^+$	$^{12}\text{C}-^{28}\text{Si}$	61
(SD)	$2^{+}$		61
	4+		60
$2^+_2$	$2^{+}$	${}^{12}\text{C}-{}^{28}\text{Si}$	62
(SD)	3+		62
	4+		63

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_3.jpeg)

### $\alpha$ -<sup>36</sup>Ar higher-nodal band

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\alpha$ -<sup>36</sup>Ar component

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Summary

- 1. New constraint, *d*-constraint, works well to calculate cluster structure wave functions.
- 2. As for <sup>20</sup>Ne, <sup>8</sup>Be-<sup>12</sup>C cluster structure is calculated with *d*-constraint. Using <sup>8</sup>Be-<sup>12</sup>C,  $\alpha$ -<sup>16</sup>O and mean-field-type wave functions as GCM basis,  $K^{\pi}=0^{+}$  band is reproduced.
- 3. As for <sup>40</sup>Ca, normal deformed bands ( $K^{\pi}=0^{+}_{2}$  and  $2^{+}_{1}$ ) have 30-40% of  $\alpha$ -<sup>36</sup>Ar cluster structure component as suggested theoretically and experimentally. Superdeformed bands ( $K^{\pi}=0^{+}_{3}$  and  $2^{+}_{2}$ ) have 60% of <sup>12</sup>C-<sup>28</sup>Si cluster structure component.
- 4. Excitation energies of <sup>40</sup>Ca are too high.
  - 1. <u>Calculate using Skyrme force (SLy7).</u>
  - 2. Superpose more basis.
  - 3. Expand AMD wave function to have different wave packets width parameters.

 $\alpha$ -<sup>36</sup>Ar component

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Details of wave functions

wave function	energy $[MeV]$	LS energy [MeV]	$\beta$	$\gamma \text{ [rad]}$
$\beta = 0.00$	-340.8	-0.1	0.00	
$\beta = 0.39$	-326.7	-18.4	0.39	0.44
$\beta = 0.65$	-321.0	-33.5	0.65	0.24
$\alpha$ - <sup>36</sup> Ar = 5.0 fm	-317.9	-9.0	0.19	0.03
$\alpha\text{-}^{36}\mathrm{Ar} = 5.5~\mathrm{fm}$	-316.0	-12.2	0.46	0.35
${}^{12}\text{C}-{}^{28}\text{Si} = 4.0 \text{ fm}$	-316.6	-34.6	0.57	0.40

projected to positive parity