Nuclear pairing: new methods, new perspectives and new questions **Alexander Volya**

Florida State University



Collaborators:

B.A. Brown, N.D. Dang, C. Davids, M. Horoi, D. Mulhall, T. Sumaryada, K. Tanabe, V. Zelevinsky

References:

- V. Zelevinsky, A. Volya, Nucl. Phys. A 752, (2005) 325c.
- A. Volya and C. Davids, Eur. Phys. J. A, 25 (supp. 1), (2005) 161-163.
- V. Zelevinsky and A. Volya, Nucl. Phys. 731, (2004) 299-310.
- A. Volya and V. Zelevinsky, Phys. Lett. B574, (2003) 27-34.
- V. Zelevinsky and A. Volya, Phys. Part. Nucl. 66, (2003) 1829-1849.
- A. Volya, Phys. Rev. C. 65, (2002) 044311.
- A. Volya, V. Zelevinsky, B. A. Brown, Phys. Rev. C 65, (2002) 054312.
- A. Volya, B. A. Brown, and V. Zelevinsky, Prog. Theor. Phys. Supp. 146 (2002) 636.
- A. Volya, B. A. Brown, and V. Zelevinsky, Phys. Lett. B 509, 37 (2001).
- A. Volya and V. Zelevinsky, Phys. Rev. C 67, (2003) 054322.

Support:

U.S. Department of Energy grants DE-FG02-92ER40750 and W-31-109-ENG-38 National Science Foundation grants PHY-0070911 and PHY-0244453

Topics of Discussion

- Exact solution of nuclear pairing
- Properties of the ground state
 - Pairing correlation energy
 - Occupation numbers
 - Spectroscopic factors
- Excited states
- Beyond pairing
 - Perturbation theory starting from pairing
 - Pairing and deformation
 - Hartree-Fock + pairing

Pairing Hamiltonian

- Pairing on degenerate time-conjugate orbitals $|1\rangle \leftrightarrow |\tilde{1}\rangle \quad |\tilde{jm}\rangle = (-1)^{j-m}|j-m\rangle$
- Pair operators $P = (a_1a_1)_{J=0}$ (J=0, T=1)
- Number of unpaired fermions is seniority s
- Unpaired fermions are untouched by H



Approaching the solution of pairing problem

- Approximate
 - BCS theory
 - HFB+correlations+RPA
 - Iterative techniques
- Exact solution
 - Richardson solution
 - Algebraic methods
 - **Direct diagonalization +** quasispin symmetry

Shortcomings of BCS

Particle number non-conservation

$$|\mathsf{BCS}\rangle = \prod_{\nu(\mathsf{doublets})} \left\{ u_{\nu} - v_{\nu} P_{\nu}^{\dagger} \right\} |0\rangle$$

Phase transition and weak pairing problem

Example
$$G = G_{\nu\nu'}$$
, gap eq. $1 = G \sum_{\nu} \frac{1}{2E_{\nu}}$

$$G < G_c \quad \Delta = 0$$
, where $1 = G_c \sum_{\nu} \frac{1}{2\epsilon'_{\nu}}$

Excited states, pair vibrations

 Quasispin and exact solution of pairing problem (EP)

On each single j-level

- Operators P^{\dagger}_{j} , P_{j} and N_{j} form a SU(2) group $P^{\dagger}_{j} \sim L^{+}_{j}$, $P_{j} \sim L_{j}$, and $N_{j} \sim L_{j}^{z}$
- Quasispin L_{j}^{2} is a constant of motion, seniority $s_i = (2j+1) - 2L_i$
- States can be classified with set

$$(L_j L_j^z) \Leftrightarrow (\mathbf{S}_j, N_j)$$

- Each s_i is conserved but N_i is not
- Extra conserved quantity simplifies solution. Example: ¹¹⁶Sn: 601,080,390 m-scheme states 272,828 J=0 states

```
110 s=0 states
```

Linear algebra with sparse matrices is fast. Deformed basis Nmax~50-60

Generalization to isovector pairing, R₅ group

Pairing phase transition

 BCS has a sharp phase transition



Pairing strength

Pairing correlations in calcium

BCS fails to describe pairing correlations in ⁴⁸Ca



Pairing becomes weak if $G/\Delta\epsilon \sim 1$ at Fermi surface



Occupation numbers and spectroscopic factors

Below critical G, weak pairing

vibrations

Single particle energy

Exact

BCS

 ϵ_{i}

- Occupation numbers $n_j = \langle N | a_j^{\dagger} a_j | N \rangle$
- Spectroscopic
 Image: Spectroscopic
 factors $v_j = \langle N 1 | a_j | N \rangle$ $u_j = \langle N + 1 | a_j^{\dagger} | N \rangle$ Two-body
 Spectroscopic factors

spectroscopic factors $\mathcal{P}_{i}(N) = \langle N - 2 | P_{i} | N \rangle$

$$\mathcal{P}_{j}^{\dagger}(N) = \mathcal{P}_{J}(N+2)^{*} = \langle N+2|P_{j}^{\dagger}|N\rangle$$

BCS: $n_j = v_j^2 = 1 - u_j^2$, $\mathcal{P}_j(\overline{N}) = \sqrt{n_j(1 - n_j)} = u_j v_j$

Ladder-system with constant G



Ladder with 12 levels, N=12

Occupation numbers and spectroscopic factors ¹¹⁴Sn

Exact calculation and BCS

Separation energy: S(N)=E(N-1)-E(N)

j	g _{7/2}	d _{5/2}	d _{3/2}	S _{1/2}	h _{11/2}
N _j	6.96	4.46	0.627	0.356	1.60
N _i	6.71	4.14	0.726	0.507	1.91
n _j	0.870	0.744	0.157	0.178	0.133
1-u² _j	0.872	0.748	0.162	0.183	0.137
V ² _j	0.865	0.736	0.155	0.177	0.131
n _i	0.839	0.690	0.181	0.254	0.159
S(N+1)	2.80	3.13	3.14	3.39	3.29
S(N+1)	2.89	3.21	3.11	3.21	3.26
S(N)	6.86	6.55	7.25	6.98	7.12
S(N)	6.89	6.64	7.20	7.03	7.06
<i>P</i> (N+2)	0.680	0.779	0.617	0.514	1.03
<i>P</i> (N)	0.810	0.930	0.524	0.396	0.845
Р	0.734	0.801	0.545	0.435	0.896

Low-lying states in paired systems

Exact treatment

- No phase transition and G_{critical}
- o Different seniorities do not mix
- Diagonalize for pair vibrations

BCS treatment

	$G {<} G_{\rm critical}$	G>G _{critical}
Ground state	Hartree-Fock	BCS
Elementary excitations	single-particle excitations $E_{s=2}$ =2 ϵ	quasiparticle excitation $E_{s=2}$ =2 e
Collective excitations	HF+RPA	HFB+RPA



Low-lying states: Two-level pairing model



Is there a pairing phase transition in mesoscopic system?



Invariant entropy

Mixing of states $|\alpha\rangle = \sum_k C_k^{\alpha}(G)|k\rangle$ $|k\rangle$ - some reference basis states Matrix ρ for each state α

$$\rho_{k\,k'}^{\alpha}(G,\delta G) = \frac{1}{\delta G} \int_{G}^{G+\delta G} C_{k'}^{\alpha}(G)^{*} C_{k}^{\alpha}(G) dG$$

Invariant entropy

$$I^{\alpha}(G, \delta G) = -\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{\alpha} \ln(\rho^{\alpha})\right)$$

Invariant entropy is basis independent
Indicates the sensitivity of eigenstate α to parameter G in interval [G,G+δ G]



Contour plot of invariant correlational entropy showing a phase diagram as a function of T=1 pairing ($\lambda_{T=1}$) and T=0 pairing ($\lambda_{T=0}$); three plots indicate phase diagram as a function of non-pairing matrix elements (λ_{np}). Realistic case is $\lambda_{T=1}=\lambda_{T=0}=\lambda_{np}=1$

Pair vibrations in realistic cases

 A number of J=0 states are pair-vibrations: "worsened" copies of the ground state.
 J=0 states are less effected by other interactions

 \blacktriangleright These states lie above the 2 \triangle





Pairing and other residual interactions. Why pairing correlations survive?

- Nucleon-nucleon interaction is short range.
- Many non-pairing components of interaction preserve seniority (do not effect pairing).
- Finite nuclear size can be important.
- Pairing correlations can be enhanced in special regions, such as near oblate prolate shape change.

How deformation can enhance paring. Quadrupole-quadrupole interaction on single j-level

$$H = -\frac{\xi}{2}Q \cdot Q$$

 Exchange terms result in attractive pairing interaction

 $G = \xi/(2\Omega)$

- Terms scale as 1/Ω where Ω=2j+1
- Pairing is the strongest particle-particle interactions





Using pairing in treating nuclear many-body problem

- Perturbative calculations starting from pairing ⁽¹⁾
- Hartree-Fock plus exact pairing
- Pairing and RPA for other interactions

(1) A. Volya, B.A. Brown, V. Zelevinsky, Phys. Lett. **B509** (2001) 37.

Hartree-Fock +EP



Pairing plus quadrupole model

- Hamiltonian $H = -GP^{\dagger}P \frac{\xi}{2}Q \cdot Q$ on a single j-level
- Quadrupole creates deformed mean field $\epsilon_m = -3\xi m^2 \langle Q \rangle$
- Particles are distributed with pairing
- Self consistent mean-field $\langle Q \rangle = \sum_{m} \left[3m^2 - j(j+1) \right] n_m$



- Phase transition is smooth and extended.
- Pairing correlation survive in deformed state

Hartree Fock +EP calculation: Realistic case, tin isotopes.

- We use Skyrme HF (SKX⁽¹⁾)
- Pairing matrix elements from
 G-matrix calculations ⁽²⁾



- (1) B.A. Brown, Phys Rev. C **58**, (1998) 220.
- (2) A. Hold, et.al., Nucl. Phys. A634, (1998) 41.

Nuclear pairing and Coriolis effects in proton emitters

Motivations

Experiment

Coriolis+pairing

Adiabatic

Coriolis

Why proton emitters?

- •Remarkable experimental progress
- •Sensitive tool for structure physics
- •Development of new theoretical tools
- Addressing old questions

Coriolis effect and attenuation problem

Questions of interest:

•Single particle motion in deformed field •Rotation of a superfluid nucleus •Kinematical recoil effects (Coriolis forces)

6⁺

4⁺

2⁺

0⁺

¹⁴⁰D

1042

566

202

0



Coriolis effect and HFB in non-inertial frame



Full Picture

- Kinematic Coupling
- •Pairing Spectroscopic factors
- •Recoil of a superfluid "drop"

$$H = H_{\rm rotor} + H_{\rm intr}$$

Rotating Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (RHFB) mean field

Elementary excitations $a_{\Omega}^{\dagger} a_{\Omega+1}^{\dagger} a_{\Omega}$ for $\Omega \to \Omega + 1$ Find quasiparticles $\beta_i^{\dagger} = \sum_{\Omega} \left(u_{\Omega}^i a_{\Omega}^{\dagger} + v_{\Omega}^i a_{\Omega} \right)$ and stationary mean field $[\beta_i H] = \langle \epsilon_i \rangle \beta_i$ Broken symmetries: Particle number $\langle \Delta_i \rangle = \sum_{\Omega > 0} G u_{\Omega}^i v_{\Omega}^i$

Deformation alignment, K-symmetry $\langle j_+ \rangle \neq 0, \ \langle j_3^2 \rangle \neq 0$

Understanding Coriolis attenuation problem response of superfluid mean field to recoil



*P.Ring and P.Schuck, Then nuclear many-body problem, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2000)

Collaborators:

B.A. Brown, N.D. Dang, C. Davids, M. Horoi, D. Mulhall, T. Sumaryada, K. Tanabe, V. Zelevinsky

References:

- V. Zelevinsky, A. Volya, Nucl. Phys. A 752, (2005) 325c
- A. Volya and C. Davids, Eur. Phys. J. A, 25 (supp. 1), (2005) 161-163.
- V. Zelevinsky and A. Volya, Nucl. Phys. 731, (2004) 299-310.
- A. Volya and V. Zelevinsky, Phys. Lett. B574, (2003) 27-34.
- V. Zelevinsky and A. Volya, Phys. Part. Nucl. 66, (2003) 1829-1849.
- A. Volya, Phys. Rev. C. 65, (2002) 044311.
- A. Volya, V. Zelevinsky, B. A. Brown, Phys. Rev. C 65, (2002) 054312.
- A. Volya, B. A. Brown, and V. Zelevinsky, Prog. Theor. Phys. Supp. 146 (2002) 636.
- A. Volya, B. A. Brown, and V. Zelevinsky, Phys. Lett. B 509, 37 (2001).
- A. Volya and V. Zelevinsky, Phys. Rev. C 67, (2003) 054322.

Support:

U.S. Department of Energy grants DE-FG02-92ER40750 and W-31-109-ENG-38 National Science Foundation grants PHY-0070911 and PHY-0244453